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Surgical Alloplastic Approach with Dual Mesh in a Multisacular, Recurrent Incisional Hernia – Case Presentation
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Abstract

Repairing an incisional ventral hernia represents a challenge for the surgeon. The high recurrence rates observed during hernia repair by tissue approximation leads to development of tension-free procedures by using prosthetic materials. Incisional or ventral hernia is a very common multifactorial pathology that requires surgical intervention to prevent complications, such as pain, discomfort, bowel obstruction or strangulation. To perform the wall repair it is of utmost importance to understand the pathogenesis of the hernia, the anatomy and physiology of the abdominal wall, and surgical techniques. Several repair methods are available, including open suture repair, open mesh repair, the component separation technique, and tissue expansion assisted closure. To perform the ventral hernia repair properly, a full understanding and correct selection of mesh and management of probable complications, such as seroma, bowel injury, enteric fistulae, and recurrence, is essential.

There are lots of scientific debates about an ideal material for mesh parietal repair. In latest years, the tendency is that the continuous decreasing territory of polyester mesh to be slowly replaced by the increasing territory of polypropylene mesh in open procedures for abdominal incisional hernia repair.

The goals of incisional hernia repair are the prevention of visceral eventration, incorporation of the abdominal wall in the repair, provision of dynamic muscular support, and restoration of abdominal wall continuity in a tension-free manner.
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INTRODUCTION

Incisional hernia is defined as an abdominal wall defect at the site of abdominal wall closure and more than 10% of patients who undergo laparotomy, experience the hernia. Ventral hernia is represented by a bulge of tissues through a weakness within abdominal wall muscles without surgery. There is an ongoing discussion concerning which factor contributes to such situations; if there is a ‘hernia disease’ or simply the coincidence of several conditions or even a physiological reaction to several conditions or even a physiological reaction to tensile forces stretching the abdominal wall. Predisposing factors are obesity, diabetes, emergency surgery, postoperative wound dehiscence or infection, smoking, immunosuppression, prostatism, and collagen disorders such as abdominal aortic aneurysm and Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome. Mesh reinforcement is generally considered the standard of care in hernia repair. Infection is a common complication following hernia repair. Infection of the mesh is a complex problem. Knowledge of new treatment strategies is necessary for surgeons performing abdominal wall reconstruction.

It involves excision of the hernia sac and identification of healthy fascial margin. For bridging the fascial defect, polypropylene mesh anchors to all adjacent tissues and has the propensity for inducing extensive adhesions to viscera if placed in a position where they become adjacent to bowel in this technique. Erosion of the mesh may then occur into the intestine, so it is recommended to use an expensive double-layered mesh with an inner layer with non-adhesive coating. As these meshes do not restore the anatomy and physiology of the anterior abdominal wall, activities that increase intra-abdominal pressure impact significant tension on the mesh-fascial interface, which is the weakest point of the repair. The recurrence rate of this technique tends to be higher than those of the underlay and inlay technique. Different types of mesh have been studied to find an ideal alloplastic material for reinforcement. The advantages and disadvantages of each material could not reveal an ideal material for herniorrhaphy.

The choice of mesh is made according to the location and type of hernia, the presence or not of the septic
factor, the surgeon’s preferences, and other consideration11,12.

**CASE PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSIONS**

We present the case of a 55 years old woman who came to our emergency room accusing pain in her lower abdomen, nausea, and fever. The patient had a history of multiple surgical interventions such as: umbilical hernia (mesh free), ventral hernia after mesh free repair and one surgical approach for mesh infection. Clinical exam revealed an enlarged abdomen, an abdominal wall defect that was almost incarcerated and red skin erythema near the umbilical region. The patient had associated pathologies that did not allow us to operate immediately after admission; atrial fibrillation, high blood pressure and type II diabetes also her body mass index was 30. Laboratory tests showed high glucose levels 310 g/dl, white blood cell count 18.000 mmc, INR of 2.6 and blood pressure was 170/90 mmHg; she was admitted in the intensive care unit for 48h preoperative. We performed an open surgical approach, tension free technique using an intraperitoneal dual-mesh (Figure 1, Figure 2). Although she showed signs of intestinal obstruction and the adhesiolysis process was difficult, the small intestine was viable and no enterectomy was necessary.

Postoperatively the patient had a fast recovery, blood work was normal in the next 48 hours, her BP was stable and glucose levels also normal. Incision was almost healed after 7 days and no surgical site infection at discharge.

The patient had no recurrence in the next year as she returned for checkup.

Different recurrence rates have been reported for different types of nets13. As long as there is no ideal material for all situations, the surgeon is put in front of choosing the ideal material for the particular situation he is facing14,15. In large defects, various assistive techniques have been imagined to gain regarding the abdominal wall16.
CONCLUSIONS

Regarding the advances in the understanding of the anatomy and physiology of the abdominal wall, the choice of suture materials, the understanding of closure techniques, and the continuous development of prosthetic materials, the management of incisional hernia continues to move forward. Incisional hernia repair is considered as a challenging procedure, especially in recurrent hernias, such as in our case, in which the chances of recurrence increases with each surgical technique. Successful repair relies on knowledge of the dynamics of the abdominal wall, thorough technical execution, appropriate selection of synthetic or bioprosthesis material, and constitution of the surgical team. Though laparoscopic repair has been demonstrated to be safe and a more resilient repair than open repair, open mesh repair remains a suitable alternative.
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